

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,727	12/29/2003	Steven M. Alagna	109263-133920	7539
31817 7.	590 08/09/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHWABE, WILLIAMSON & WYATT			ELKINS, GARY E	
1211 S.W. FIF	ENTER, SUITES 1600-190 TH AVE.	00	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
PORTLAND,	OR 97204		3727	
			DATE MAILED: 08/09/2005	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Jach			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/748,727	ALAGNA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gary E. Elkins	3727	<u> </u>			
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of th riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timel NTHS from the mailing date of this or ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	1 May 2005.					
	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte</i> Q <i>uayle</i> , 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicat	tion.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 17-21 is/are without	drawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers			,			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 December 2003</u> is/are: a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to	***					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attach	ea Office Action of form P	10-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have been received. nents have been received in	Application No				
 Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bu 		n received in this National	Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nt received.				
Attachment(s)	. □ ·	· C				
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 		y Summary (PTO-413) p(s)/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	3/08) 5) Notice of	f Informal Patent Application (PT	O-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	 ·				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-16 in the reply filed on 31 May 2005 is acknowledged. Claims 17-21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the embodiment of claim 16 where the manufacturer's joint includes a tear strip and perforations must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/748,727 Page 3

Art Unit: 3727

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Andrews.
- 5. Claims 1-4, 10 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Weber.
- 6. Claims 1, 6-8 and 13-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beloate et al (fig. 2 emb). Beloate et al discloses a package including a second flap 10, 19 with perforations 27, tear strip 10 and thumb notch 30 as claimed.
- 7. Claims 1-12 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Rumberger. Note is especially made of col. 6, line 38 through col. 7, line 40.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

9. Claims 1, 2, 13 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Mahon or Kiedaisch, each in view of either Andrews or Weber. Each of Mahon and Kiedaisch discloses a manufacturer's joint (46 or 47, 28, respectively) incorporating a tear strip. Neither Mahon nor Kiedaisch evidences perforations located on the seam, i.e. between the first and second flaps forming the seam capable of allowing adhesive to seep into the material. Each of Andrews and Weber teaches that it is known to make a manufacturer's joint with perforations (15, 68, respectively) to provide a stronger bond and evidence tampering if it occurs. It would have been obvious to provide perforations within the bonded manufacturer's joint in either Mahon or Kiedaisch as taught by either Andrews or Weber to prevent tampering and resealing of the joint prior to opening the tear strip.

Conclusion

The remaining cited prior art is illustrative of the general state of the art.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Technology Center 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses in Office Actions to (571)273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by Applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the Examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained form the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. Also, copies of an office action or other file information may be obtained from the Private PAIR system. For more information about the

Art Unit: 3727

PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions regarding access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the Examiner should be directed to Gary Elkins at telephone number (571)272-4537. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mr. Nathan Newhouse can be reached at (571)272-4544.

Gary E. Elkins Primary Examiner

gee

8 August 2005